VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY 9915 - 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI February 1, 2016 6:00 p.m.

A regular meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, February 1, 2016. Meeting called to order at 6:15 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Kris Keckler, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Dave Klimisch and Mike Serpe. Also present were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Tom Shircel, Assistant Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director; Dave Smetana, Police Chief; Doug McElmury; Fire & Rescue Chief; Rocco Vita, Village Assessor; Matt Fineour, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director; Carol Willke, HR and Recreation Director; Dan Honore', IT Director; Sandro Perez, Inspection Superintendent and Jane M. Romanowski, Village Clerk. Three citizens attended the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

4. MINUTES OF MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2016

KLIMISCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 4, 2016 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jane Romanowski:

The first speaker is Stephanie.

Stephanie Gracyalny:

Good evening. Your building is beautiful. It's nice to be able to talk at the first meeting here. My name is Stephanie Gracyalny. And my address is 11711 47th Avenue. I'm here for three reasons, my kids. Pleasant Prairie has become a sex offender dumping ground for many of Kenosha County's sex offenders due to the current Village ordinance. Because of this ordinance, Pleasant Prairie receives the lion's share of sex offenders compared to the rest of Kenosha County. Multiple sex offender half way houses have been established in the Village. Rarely do they house an actual Pleasant Prairie resident.

Violent felons are placed into your neighborhood to serve out their sentence. These are violent criminals. They have abducted, enticed and committed unspeakable crimes against innocent women and children. The one by my home holds four offenders at one time. It's a sex offender

revolving door. One goes out and a new one comes in. Yes, they're GPS monitored, but this gives the community a false sense of security because nobody is actually monitoring where they go. However, data can be pulled up later if needed which is, of course, after it's too late.

These criminals can roam freely from their halfway house into our neighborhoods perhaps hunting for their next victim. After being in the house after 60 days it's easy to learn where the neighborhood women and children reside. One house is 1.9 miles from an elementary school, only three minutes away. The same house is only 1.1 miles from a daycare, only two minutes away. I know offenders have rights, but my kids and the kids of this Village have a right to be safe. Housing groups of dangerous criminals together where they can share child molestation and enticement tips with each other doesn't seem safe.

I've reached out to the Board members in the past on this subject but have gotten a lackluster response. I would like this subject put on the next meeting. Of note, Chapter 287 of the Village sex offender ordinance from the Village website states: Sexual offenders are extremely likely to use physical violence and to repeat their offenses. And most sexual offenders commit many offenses to many more victims that are never reported and are prosecuted for a fraction of their crimes.

The Village ordinance acknowledges the violent nature of a sex offender but doesn't prevent these sex offender half way houses from being established in our neighborhoods. Roughly 100 of these offenders which are strangers to Pleasant Prairie can come through the Village in one year. These are non-resident offenders. This is a serious community issue. I hope you will sincerely consider finding ways to revise the ordinance and not sweep this under the rug. I look forward to attending more Village Board meetings to share information with all of you as well as hearing your responses to the issues presented to you tonight.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you.

Pauline Hammerbeck:

Hello, my name is Pauline Hammerbeck, 6724 Springbrook Road. I'm here to introduce the Board to a few of my neighbors who just moved into a single family home just up the road from me this month. First up is Carey who served time for sexual assault of a child. His roommate, Jermaine was convicted of a criminal sexual abuse of a child. They also live with Perry first degree sexual assault of a child. And Michael also first degree sexual assault of a child. Next is their roommate James with more than four convictions for first and second degree sexual assault of a child. And he's under lifetime high risk GPS monitoring. Then there's their roommate Juan who was convicted of third degree sexual assault of a child and more notably child abduction.

As you might have gathered these six men don't live in an ordinary house. The single family home operates as a halfway house for transient sex offenders. And this is one of three properties in the Village renting out to the State to house fresh from prison sex offenders, many who are actually serving out their sentences here. This network recruits more than 100 transients to

Pleasant Prairie each year who would never be here otherwise because they have no connections to this community. Because most other communities in the County have assertive sex offender ordinances in place, the State has difficult placing County offenders most anywhere other than Pleasant Prairie where we are more accommodating. We are, in fact, the dumping ground for sex offenders in Kenosha County.

It's a great deal for the real estate investors, however, because as I understand it the man from Illinois who owns two of the halfway houses here received \$800 or \$900 a month for each inmate. That's \$5,400 in rent this month for the single family home near me on Old Green Bay Road. And what's to stop him from setting up more? I reached out to each of you about this matter in the past, but I'm here because I really haven't gotten anywhere. Allowing these halfway houses to operate is an unfair burden that you're asking the community to shoulder. And this is happening on your watch.

This is definitely something that keeps me up at night. I worry daily for the safety of my children and for others in the community because this affects everyone. The residents next door to the Old Green Bay Road facility where their swing set sits idle, I wouldn't let my kids play out there either. The elderly woman who wasn't able to find a buyer for her home because she was located a few doors down. The kids who stand outside each morning at the bus stop just a few houses over. The many women who find Old Green Bay Road a great jogging path and unknowingly run by this house each morning.

Some of you may think that nothing can be done, but I have many suggestions based on other community policies I've reviewed. I ask that the Board do two things. First, I'd like a meeting to review the Village ordinances to make sure these facilities are abiding by our current laws. And, second, I'd like to look at our sex offender ordinance specifically and talk about how it can be shored up to shut these halfway houses down. I have ideas on both fronts, and would definitely like this topic added to a future Board meeting agenda.

For example, the City of Kenosha which is five times our size, doesn't allow two offenders to live within a six block radius of one another. Other towns around the county don't even allow sex offenders in their village unless they were residents at the time of their conviction. And I understand there's some hesitation because of the politics that propose bills in Madison are looking to take away local influence in this sex offender situation.

But I point you to Fond du Lac where the residents, not politics, took center stage this winter when the leaders of the community including the County Sheriff stood up to prevent a single violent sex offender who had no ties to the community from being housed there. I also point you to officials in Milwaukee who just this month banned sex offenders from residing there unless they're residents prior to their conviction. Meanwhile we're basically a revolving door for more than 100 non-Village sex offenders coming into this community each year. The leaders of Fond du Lac, Milwaukee, Kenosha and dozens of other communities around the State didn't worry about the politics, and I ask that you do the same. I'm putting the safety of my two and actually now approaching three children on your shoulders, and I urge you to act.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Pauline.

David Knabel:

Mr. President and Trustees, it's probably no surprise why I'm here now. I have nieces, a nephew, a mother and sister --

John Steinbrink:

Would you give us your address please?

David Knabel:

Oh, sure. I'm 4516 Chicory, actually in Mount Pleasant. However, my mother lives here, my sister lives here, and my nieces and nephew live here. Many friends and their families live here as well. And I lived with my parents here for many years. I'm a numbers guy so I'm coming at this from a little bit of a different approach on how this affects the Village. The effect of offenders on the tax base for taxing bodies and property values of residents, per a 2008 study properties adjacent to a single offender decreased by 12 percent in value for people who are trying to sell their homes. And average home value of \$218,000 that impacts every resident adjacent to it by \$26,000.

Additionally, properties within a tenth of a mile see a reduction of four percent in value. I can only imagine the impact is higher where there are concentrations of offenders like there are currently in some of these houses. With 54 offenders currently registered in Pleasant Prairie that's an impact of multiple millions of dollars to the value of residents' houses, and tens of thousands of dollars in lost tax revenues for schools and other taxing bodies. Residents shouldn't lose money for something that they had no say in and over which they have no control. The good news is that market value bounces back almost immediately after the offender moves from that location.

Pleasant Prairie is a great community to live in, and it is well below the U.S. and local average for assaults and sex offenses. However, the decisions that you make now and actions that you take or don't take can determine whether that remains true in the future. Investors are always looking for where to purchase properties so they can make money. These are businesses, and they talk to each other. While there may be currently only a couple of properties housing multiple offenders, you can be sure that more are looking at it as, unfortunately, a booming business. Investors of this nature locate to the path of least resistance.

If Pleasant Prairie makes it easy they will come in greater numbers and have greater negative impact on your community. If you take actions now to make it more difficult or not financially feasible you can ensure that the community remains a desirable place to raise your families into the future. I work for another municipality, and we've had these issues and bumped up against legislation that makes it very restrictive. However, I ask that the Board be proactive and look at

options to address the concerns of its residents. It's easy to figure out what you can do after something has happened, but we don't want to be in that situation.

I would ask that you draft and approve a letter to our state legislators that voices support for Senate Bill 409 which tightens residency requirements for offenders on release. I also ask that you look at your local ordinance to see if there are ways to discourage these types of situations, whether it be zoning laws or use of multifamily housing, making sure that the zoning laws are currently being followed. Look at fee structures for licenses and registrations that make it less appealing to locate to the Village and hitting investors in the pocketbooks. You can even work with the parks and rec department to establish parks at locations that would limit the distance that these houses can be located from parks, trails, setting up playgrounds and green spaces can be used to deter this.

I understand that current legislation and legal environment make it restrictive for you to take action. That being said there are avenues within current legislation you can look at to send a clear message. That message being that you're more concerned about the safety of your residents and their families who choose to live here than offenders who have no vested interest in making the Village better and are only here because they were assigned. Thank you for your consideration. And I look forward to hearing what process is made at future meetings.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you.

Jane Romanowski:

There are no additional signups, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Anyone else wishing to speak? Hearing none I'm going to close citizen comments.

6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'd like to report that it's obvious that we're pretty much completed on the inside of this building. The outside of the building is going to be completed shortly with all panels being attached and finishing the insulation that's associated with it, and we'll have this thing all buttoned up and ready to go.

I would like to comment on a couple of comments that were made in citizens' comments. I think this is an issue that we've taken seriously. Our ordinance was crafted with the assistance of Village counsel, a defensible ordinance. That being said I'm not opposed to looking at other ways to enhance it. There are probably more restrictions -- not probably, there is more restrictions than not on what we're able to do in regulating any kind of community based

residential facility. And to the extent that it's eliminated completely at some point the Village runs the risk of having the ordinance tossed out and not having any regulation.

I don't recall any guidance from the Board. In fact, I know there hasn't been any to make it easier for anybody to locate here or not take any steps to locate here. And legislation has been active on both sides of the scale based on where someone's interest lay to either make it easier or make it harder to have that happen. I know our police department takes an active role in watching where people are. And one of the things about the ordinance and the statute right now is we know where those people are. And the worse thing that happens is when we don't where they're at. That's a situation we always work to stay out of.

I'll visit with counsel and see if there's any additional recommendations we can make. I know the last gentleman who spoke said that there's some ways to kind of work around what we feel or our attorney felt were rational restrictions for the parks. And there are a number of trails that are going throughout the Village, and that's one of the benefits of that activity taking place. So I think this is something that we're working on. And we'll look at other ordinances to the extent that other ordinances are defensible. At some point that we have to do something that's going to get the job done but not have us in court where we actually lose and we end up in worse condition than when we started.

Pauline Hammerbeck:

I have some handouts . . .

John Steinbrink:

If you could just give that to Jane she will distribute that to us later. Unfortunately we did this under citizens' comments where we're only limited on what we can do as far as responding.

Mike Pollocoff:

That's all I have, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Mike. And I know the Board will take this issue seriously. We always have. As Mike said the one thing we have on our side now that we didn't have before is we know where they're located. Years ago we did not know where they were located. You didn't know who your neighbor was or what they were there for. That wasn't revealed to the communities in the past. So it's little consolation, but it is consolation that we do know where they're located, and we can keep an eye on them to the degree we can. So we will be looking at this. And hopefully it can come back as an agenda item or something we'll be working on here. So thank you all for coming this evening.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider a Certified Survey Map, to combine several properties and to dedicate road right-of-way and easements for the development of a second Uline Headquarters office building (H2) located at 12100 Uline Place.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board, the CSM that's being proposed this evening is proposed to combine three properties for development and to dedicate some additional right-of-way to the west frontage road owned by and under the jurisdiction of the Wisconsin DOT, as well as to dedicate some additional easements and additional right-of-way to the Village. Specifically, Uline is proposing to construct H2 which is a second headquarters building. And that would be located at 12100 Uline Place. This is just south of County Trunk Highway Q and just to the west of the frontage road. Specifically they are looking to build on 37.3 acres of land looking to create a 284,260 square foot office building and a 78,000 square foot basement. The building will be three stories, and it will be very similar in design and construction as their existing H1 headquarters which is actually to the north/northwest of this site.

They are intending to begin construction sometime this spring, sometime hopefully in February or March with respect to the work at the site. They've already begun grading, the site grading. They are looking to complete the building sometime in late summer of 2017, hopefully about August. The new corporate office is projected to have 500 initial employees with the capacity of 800 total employees at full build out. And as part of the development a total of 875 parking spaces will be added.

This was a matter that was as part of this project overall was before the Village Plan Commission back in March of 2015. They received preliminary site and operational plan approval at that time. And, again, the purpose for the preliminary is to get going with respect to the initial site grading on that particular property. There were several other approvals that were granted back in 2015 which includes the erosion control permit for mass grading as well as the stipulated shoreland permit, as well as the permits from the Wisconsin DNR and the Army Corps of Engineers. And these were for the filling of the wetlands. And then they also completed a detailed floodplain boundary adjustment which they have just finalized and received approval not only from the Village and the Wisconsin DNR but from the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

The final site and operational plans for the corporate office H2 were approved by the Plan Commission at their last meeting. And at that last meeting a certified survey map as well as all of the other pieces of this development were approved by the Plan Commission. As you can see on the slide this depicts their corporate office building, a central large pond that's right in the center, and the large amount of parking both to the north and to the south of the site. Again, they will be butted up to the west frontage road or 120th Avenue. But in the center is where Uline Place identified as a public entrance will be dedicated to the Village as part of this certified survey map. And additional right-of-way is being dedicated as part of the 120th Avenue expansion at some point.

The other thing that the certified survey map does do for the Village is it does dedicate sanitary sewer as well as public water easements along the north side, somewhat on the north side of the property. And then we're also dedicating floodplain preservation and protection easements on the south end as well as some wetland protection and preservation easements on the property. So this is a matter, again, that was before the Village Plan Commission. The Plan Commission recommended approval of the certified survey map as well as all the other documents associated with this project.

This is just one last slide that provides a nice illustration of what the corporate office is going to be looking like, as well as some facts about their anticipated completion dates, the number of employees that they will generate at this location, and the number of parking spaces for that large lot that they'll be creating. The staff recommends approval subject to the comments as outlined in the staff memorandum.

Michael Serpe:

John, I'd move approval of the certified survey map.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Kris. Any further discussion on this item?

Dave Klimisch:

As part of this application is there language in there talking about the appraised value of the property, something we need to spell out ahead of time?

Mike Pollocoff:

That would be in the development agreement which is yet to be completed. But you're right, that's language that needs to be added. But that comes in a subsequent document.

John Steinbrink:

Other comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND APPROVE A CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP, TO COMBINE SEVERAL PROPERTIES AND TO DEDICATE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SECOND ULINE HEADQUARTERS OFFICE BUILDING (H2) LOCATED AT 12100 ULINE PLACE; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

B. Consider an Award of Contract for the installation of Precast Wall Panels for the Roger Prange Center Equipment Storage project.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, On January 12th sealed bids for the equipment storage of the Roger Prange Center were received at the Village Hall. They were publically opened and read aloud, and we did attach the tabulation for your reference in your packet. There were four contractors that submitted a bid for this project ranging from \$569,600 for the low bid, and then the high bid was \$703,888. Staff and our general contractor, Riley Construction, is recommending the second lowest bid of Dukane Precast which came in just \$8,000 higher than what the lowest bid was. But they're able to bring the panels to us in early June versus the low bid was in mid September. This will allow us not to have to build during winter months, and it will decrease our construction costs by more so than the \$8,000.

And so staff is recommending Dukane Precast in the amount of \$577,500, and that's just for the precast panel walls around the perimeter of this facility. Just a quick note for your reference - this facility that we're looking at building is an 86,000 square foot facility, and it's really just a concrete shell. We're not really looking to have any -- there's no office space inside. There's no bells, no whistles on there. Just the bare minimum that we need just to store our public works vehicles in a heated environment just to make sure that we extend the life.

The public works has grown quite a big since the Prange Center. The current facility stores about 23,000 square foot of storage. And we can get not quite half of our equipment that we have in there, and so a lot of it is outside. A lot of our inventory that we keep is outside. It's really hard on starting the trucks, starting the vehicles, starting the loaders. A lot of times when we go out for snow events when it's ten below zero, all that hydraulic fluid in those vehicles is cold. It takes a long time to warm up and operate properly. So staff is recommending Dukane Precast for the amount of \$577,500 for I'm authorizing a PO for the precast panels in that storage facility.

Michael Serpe:

John, what type of ventilation system is going to be in that building?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It's actually going to have a ventilation system that's just going to recirculate any air when the trucks are running. And so there's a couple sensors in there that will monitor the exhaust. And then once it reaches a certain level it will turn the fans on. The heating we're just looking at a radiant heat. It's the most cost effective heat that we could find in there also. There will be other bid packages included coming up at future Board meetings. We'll have the structural steel will

be coming up probably in a month, the mechanical, electrical and plumbing and then a miscellaneous bid package based on as our design moves forward.

Michael Serpe:

Anybody has been out to public works they see that we definitely need the space.

Dave Klimisch:

I have a question. In the bids there's numbers for the smooth float finish. As that something we're going to sign off as well with Dukane?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

We do not have to actually have the final design for the bid panel. We're working through a process with our community development department. Once we get a PO it just puts in queue for construction of these walls. The walls probably won't get built until end of April probably. So we have a little bit of time. But this just gets us in a queue so we still have a couple months to work out the details with Jean Werbie and her staff to make sure that the finishes match the Prange and everything.

Mike Pollocoff:

It probably will be a smooth.

Dave Klimisch:

So Dukane will probably do the smooth float? Because I note on the bids Dukane is at \$6,500 if we want to do the smooth float finish. And then Mid-States is \$27,000.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Oh, yes, there was an alternate in there and so there was a deduct in the bid.

Dave Klimisch:

So if we don't do it, we're not going to do the smooth float, the Mid-States comes in five weeks earlier. They're done April 18th instead of May 30th.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yeah. We did have some conversations with Riley Construction, and they've had very good luck with Dukane Precast as far as the quality of the project that they'll submit. And so staff is looking to recommend Dukane over Mid-States.

John Steinbrink:

Other comment or question?

Kris Keckler:

Move approval to award contract as outlined.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Kris, second by Steve. Any further discussion?

KECKLER MOVED TO APPROVE AN AWARD OF CONTRACT TO DUKANE PRECAST, INC. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$577,500 FOR THE INSTALLATION OF PRECAST WALL PANELS FOR THE ROGER PRANGE CENTER EQUIPMENT STORAGE PROJECT; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

C. Consider Resolution #16-02 - Preliminary Resolution Declaring Intent to Exercise Special Assessment Police Powers in connection with the construction of water, sewer and storm sewer improvements as a result of extending Cooper Road from 97th Street north to 98th Street.

Mike Pollocoff:

Matt, you want to start?

Matt Fineour:

Sure. Mr. President and members of the Board, this is a preliminary resolution, again, to declare special assessment powers for utility construction associated with the 97th Street/Cooper Road extension. This would include the sanitary sewer as well as water main associated with the project and any storm laterals that might serve as future lots. The project itself is almost being finished designed, and at a future Board meeting we'll be coming up with the final assessment for those properties being affected by the utilities. Just for your information, as well as this Thursday we are having a public informational meeting associated with this project in general for the overall project. If there's any questions I'd be happy to answer them.

Mike Pollocoff:

I'm going to add that this project is part of Tax Increment District #2. If you think back to the District there's a long corridor that connects Village Green Heights with LakeView Corporate

Park. And this runs through that area so the TIF District will be paying for the roadway improvements. The sanitary sewer and water will be paid for as that land develops. So we'll be bringing that unit cost back before the hearing. But the primary thing this will do is it will provide another connection in the Village, that north/south connection between 165 and 93rd Street. If you think back at how limited the access was and the reconstruction of 39th, plus just the day-to-day operations of the Village of getting a police car from Devonshire over to Village Green Heights or back and forth. You have to go all the way around to get in there. So this is an easy connection.

Michael Serpe:

Mike, the people that elect to take the ten years to pay what is the going rate right now that we have?

Mike Pollocoff:

For the sewer and water on a per foot basis do you have Matt?

Matt Fineour:

On a per foot basis it varies depending on the utility and the project. But it ranges it could be around \$80 to \$100 a foot.

Michael Serpe:

For both?

Matt Fineour:

No, for one utility.

Michael Serpe:

Just for sewer or just for water?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right.

Michael Serpe:

And the interest rate if the people elect to finance it through the Village?

Mike Pollocoff:

It would depend on what the rate's going for.

Michael Serpe:

We haven't set a rate on that?

Mike Pollocoff:

No. The other thing is it's probably the lowest number you'll see because basically they're just digging through a farm field. They're not working around gas, electric, underground utilities, existing traffic. So it's probably the cheapest construction you'll find. But still it's not minimal.

Dave Klimisch:

And the houses on 97th Street are they going to be part of the assessment?

Mike Pollocoff:

No, they already have sewer and water.

Dave Klimisch:

Or the ones on the -- there's a home I know on the southwest side of 97th and Cooper. And on each of the corners where Cooper meets 97th are they part of the assessment?

Mike Pollocoff:

No. They're in the platted area. They paid for the sewer and water when they purchased their parcel.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 16-92.

Dave Klimisch:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Dave. Any further discussion? Is this a Board action, Mike?

Mike Pollocoff:

Yes. You may want to consider abstaining on this.

John Steinbrink:

Yes. For the record I will be abstaining for this because it does touch a part of a property my family is associated with. So I will not vote on this item. Further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #16-02 - PRELIMINARY RESOLUTION DECLARING INTENT TO EXERCISE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT POLICE POWERS IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF WATER, SEWER AND STORM SEWER IMPROVEMENTS AS A RESULT OF EXTENDING COOPER ROAD FROM 97TH STREET NORTH TO 98TH STREET; SECONDED BY KLIMISCH; MOTION CARRIED 4-0 WITH PRESIDENT STEINBRINKK ABSTAINING FROM DISCUSSION OR VOTING ON THIS ITEM FOR THE REASON STATED.

John Steinbrink:

Let the record show four ayes, one abstaining.

D. Consider Resolution #16-03 authorizing the submission of a Wisconsin Department of Transportation Facilities for Economic Assistance (TEA) Grant Application.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, this resolution authorizes the staff to process an application for a transportation economic assistance grant. We'd be applying for this on behalf of two corporations in the Village of Pleasant Prairie, Meijer warehouse and distribution facility as well as Niagara. The purpose of this grant is to secure the expansion of State Highway 165 from two lanes in that area where that's all it is to four lanes. It's basically a conservancy area to construct a second bridge on 165 so that we would have four lanes total.

The Village does not have funds available to carry this project on by ourselves without the grant assistance. We can't afford to construct this. the TEA Grant program is going to enable us to take advantage of the program that's funded through the federal government where based on the job production that's being exhibited by Meijer and Niagara that we'll be able to fund 50 percent of the construction for those projects with those funds, and the rest would be funded by the State of Wisconsin under their transportation program. If the project proceeds, if we receive the grant, it would be 2017 construction for the project to take place. That being the case I'd recommend that the Village adopt the resolution and authorize the grant be processed by Village staff with the Department of Transportation.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Dave Klimisch:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Dave. Further discussion on this item?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #16-03 AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF A WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES FOR ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE (TEA) GRANT APPLICATION; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Michael Serpe:

I have something, John. We have had a Plan Commission member for years has taken to ill health. He's not able to attend the last number of meetings. His name is Don Hackbarth. He's been a long-time member of the Plan Commission. He's been the Police Department's Chaplain, the Fire Department's Chaplain. He's been involved with the Village for years. His whole heart was this Village, and his church at which he was a Pastor at Good Shepherd. The guy has been so dedicated to this Village and has done so much for it that I'd like to ask staff if they would maybe look into something that we can do for Don in appreciative way from the Board and from the Village. He's certainly going to be missed on the Plan Commission. I wish him well. I hope he gets better. But right now it appears he may not be coming back to the Plan Commission. So I will ask that maybe you guys can consider something for the future.

Mike Pollocoff:

We'll bring something back to the Board.

John Steinbrink:

Other Board comments?

Dave Klimisch:

I want to thank Stephanie, Pauline and David for coming up and talking about the housing of the sex offenders' issue. I've been following this. If Senate Board 409 is the same one that Joe Kleefisch introduced in the spring, the way I understand it that senate bill would try to standardize the rules and regs in all the municipalities across the State of Wisconsin so that everybody gets to house their own citizens that come back to their own villages. So I look forward to working with you guys some more and getting more details.

9. ADJOURNMENT

SERPE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:45 P.M.